## **MODELS FOR QUALITY AT SCALE: HOW TO EFFICIENTLY REVIEW A PROGRAM**





### **Breana Yaklin**

@BreanaYaklin
Instructional Designer
IT Services | Hub for innovation in Learning and Technology
Michigan State University



#### **LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

Explore a process for conducting a modified Quality Matters internal review of a program.

2

Discuss strategies for scaling Quality Matters course reviews in diverse settings.

3

Develop a plan to scale a Quality Matters review at your institution.





Share the process we followed.

Small group discussion: What would this look like in your environment?

Large group share-out.

Plan a program review using provided worksheet.



#### HOW TO EFFICIENTLY REVIEW A PROGRAM [OUR STORY]

<ul> <li>Who</li> <li>MSU School of Social Work Program Director</li> <li>Professors</li> <li>MSU IT Services Instructional Designers</li> </ul>	What • The Program Director asked for QM support in the form of internal reviews for online courses	Where • Michigan State University	When • May 2016-May 2017		
<ul> <li>Why</li> <li>Revising objectives to better align to the accrediting body</li> <li>SW Program Director sought guidance on Quality Matters to check alignment</li> <li>Create a version of each online course to serve as a model</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>How</li> <li>Identified a team of Quality Matters trained Instructional Designers</li> <li>Established a review schedule and a process for reviewing each course in pairs</li> <li>Check-in meetings and follow-up process to keep communication open</li> </ul>			



## **OUR PROCESS**

## STEP ONE: ASSEMBLE

#### Form a team

Organized a team of five Quality Matters trained Instructional Designers

#### STEP TWO: PLAN

#### Establish a schedule Summer 16: 12

Summer 16: 12 courses Fall 16: 8 courses Spring 17: 7 courses

#### STEP THREE: METHOD

#### Method for review

Pairs of reviewers, department-specific intake form, Google Drive folder STEP FOUR: CHECK-IN

#### Check-in points

Check-in meetings with Program Director and with our team

#### STEP FIVE: FOLLOW-UP

#### Follow-up process

Follow-up process with professors, director, and team



#### **PROCESS: ESTABLISH A SCHEDULE**

Course	Instructor	Review Date	Primary Reviewer	Secondary Reviewer	Email Sent	1 <sup>st</sup> Review Complete	2 <sup>nd</sup> Review Complete	Consultation Complete	Notes
Course ID	Name/ Email	Date	Name/ Email	Name/ Email	Date	Date	Date	Date	Notes

Make your own schedule using this Google Sheet <u>template</u>.



## PROCESS: WHY USE PAIRS OF REVIEWERS?





Information Technology MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY





## DISCUSSION

What does your environment look like? What would work for you in your setting? What presents a challenge for you?



## WORKSHEET

# Create a plan that will work for you!



## **Questions?** You can email me at: yaklinbr@msu.edu **Or find me on Twitter:** @BreanaYaklin

